1	JEANE HAMILTON	
2	BARBARA J. NELSON LISA V. TENORIO	
_	Antitrust Division	
3	U.S. Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue	
	Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102	
5	Telephone: (415) 436-6660	
6	Attorneys for the United States	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	No. CR 00 20271
11	v.	INFORMATION
12	AVAR CONSTRUCTION SYSTEMS, INC.,	VIOLATION:
13	and	Title 15, United States Code, Section 1 (Price Fixing)
14	RENE FRIEDRICH,	
15	Defendants.) San Jose Venue Filed: August 24, 2000
16	The United States of America, acting through its attorneys, charges:	
17	I.	
18	DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE	
19	1. AVAR CONSTRUCTION SYSTEMS, INC. ("AVAR") and RENE	
20	FRIEDRICH are made defendants on the charge stated below.	
21	2. Beginning in or about December 1994 and continuing until in or about	
22	August 1996, the exact dates being unknown to the United States, the defendants	
23	and co-conspirators participated in a combination and conspiracy in unreasonable	
24	restraint of interstate trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act	
25	(15 U.S.C. § 1). The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing	
26	agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendants and	
	INFORMATION Page 1	

co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which were to fix and maintain prices and 1 divide the market through allocating market shares for certain post-tensioning bridge 3 projects in California. 3. 4 For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the defendants and co-conspirators did those things that they combined 5 6 and conspired to do, including, among other things: 7 (a) participating in conversations to discuss post-tensioning bridge projects in California; 8 (b) agreeing, during those conversations, to allocate market shares among 9 10 the co-conspirators, including allocating a market share to defendant 11 AVAR; 12 (c) issuing bids and price quotations in accordance with the agreements 13 reached: and 14 (d) exchanging information on sales of post-tensioning bridge projects in 15 California, for the purpose of monitoring and enforcing adherence to the 16 agreed-upon market shares. 17 II. 18 DEFENDANTS AND CO-CONSPIRATORS 19 4. Defendant AVAR is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of California, with its principal place of business in Campbell, California. 20 21 During the period covered by this Information, defendant AVAR was engaged in the business of selling post-tensioning services, materials, and supplies used in bridge

24

25

26

23

construction.

5. Defendant FRIEDRICH is a resident of the United States and citizen of Switzerland. During the period covered by this Information, defendant FRIEDRICH INFORMATION -- Page 2

was the Project Manager of defendant AVAR, stationed in Campbell, California. 1 2 Various corporations and individuals, not made defendants in this 3 Information, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged herein and performed acts and made statements in furtherance of it. 4 5 7. Whenever in this Information reference is made to any act, deed, or 6 transaction of any corporation, the allegation means that the corporation engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, employees, agents, or 7 other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, 8 control, or transaction of its business or affairs. 10 III. 11 TRADE AND COMMERCE 8. 12 Post-tensioning is a specialty construction system used to reinforce concrete in bridges and other structures. 14 9. During the period covered by this Information, the defendants and co-conspirators purchased, sold, and distributed post-tensioning materials and supplies in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate commerce from the states of manufacture to customers located in California. In addition, some of the posttensioning bridge projects allocated by the defendants and co-conspirators pursuant to the market division scheme were part of the interstate highway system and paid for by 20 federal monies. 10. 21 The business activities of the defendants and co-conspirators in carrying out the offense charged were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce. 24 25 26 IV. INFORMATION -- Page 3

1 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 2 11. The combination and conspiracy charged in this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the 3 filing of this Information. 4 5 ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15 UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1. 6 7 8 9 "/s/" Joel I. Klein Christopher S Crook 10 **Assistant Attorney General** Chief 11 12 13 Jeane Hamilton James M. Griffin Deputy Assistant Attorney General Barbara J. Nelson Lisa V. Tenorio 15 Trial Attorneys 16 U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division 17 Scott D. Hammond Director of Criminal Enforcement 18 450 Golden Gate Avenue U.S. Department of Justice Box 36046, Room 10-0101 Antitrust Division 19 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 436-6660 20 21 22 "/s/"

INFORMATION -- Page 4

Robert S. Mueller III United States Attorney

24

25

26

Northern District of California